Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/782,729	MORIMOTO ET	AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	THOMAS A. MORRISON	3653	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>			
(1) <u>THOMAS A. MORRISON</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mr. George W. Neuner (Reg. No. 26,964).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 11 April 2008 Time:			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: None			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Contacted Mr. Neuner (applicant's representative) on 4/11/2008 to get permission to add one reference numeral to Fig. 5 to clearly identify the recited top region of the stopper member, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone conversation, Mr. Neuner approved this drawing change.  Applicant needs to send in a new drawing including the changes to avoid abandonment of this application.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
(A <sub>1</sub>	oplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)